### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:	-			PCT				
	see <sup>t</sup> form	PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
l .	national application I I/EP2004/002578		International filing date (date 12.03.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21.03.2003			
•	national Patent Class B19/12	sification (IPC) or I	both national classification	and IPC				
Appl NO	icant VARA TECHNOI	LOGY S.R.L.						
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:							
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the op	inion					
	🛛 Box No. II	Priority .						
	☐ Box No. III	Non-establishn	nent of opinion with rega	ard to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability			
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of	invention	•				
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum	ents cited					
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the international app	lication				
	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	al application				
2.	FURTHER ACTI	ON						
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
	For further option	s, see Form PC	T/ISA/220.					
3.	For further details	s, see notes to F	form PCT/ISA/220.					
	٠			•				
				·,				

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016 Authorized Officer

Picard, S

Telephone No. +31 70 340-2358



## 10/549681 JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 SEP 2005

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002578

_	Во	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the follo language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).							
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:							
a. type of material:								
	ĺ		a sequence listing					
	I		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:							
	[		in written format					
	[		in computer readable form					
	c. ti	ime	of filing/furnishing:					
	[		contained in the international application as filed.					
	[		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	ſ		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.		has	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional bies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.					

4. Additional comments:

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002578

	Во	x No. II	Priority					
1.	⊠	☑ The following document has not been furnished:						
	☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and							ned (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the	earlier app	lication wh	ose priority has beer	n claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).	
		Conse	quently it has not b neless been establi	een possit shed on th	ole to consi le assumpt	der the validity of the ion that the relevant	e priority claim. This opinion has date is the claimed priority date.	
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.							
3. Additional observations, if necessary:								
		x No. V lustrial a				bis.1(a)(i) with reg	ard to novelty, inventive step or h statement	
1.	Sta	tement						
	No	Novelty (N)			Claims	3		
		,		No:	Claims	1, 2, 4		
	Inv	Inventive step (IS)		Yes:	Claims			
			,	No:	Claims	3		
	Ind	Industrial applicability (IA)			Claims Claims	1-4		
2	Cita	ations ar	nd explanations					
			•					

## 10/549681

### JC20 Rec'd PCT/PTO 1 9 SEP 2005

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/002578

#### Re Item V.

1) The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: WO 00/53536 A

D2: EP-A-1 172 339

D3: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN of JP 2002 293548 A

D3a: JP 2002 293548 A

D4: PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN of JP 61 163131 A

### 2) lack of disclosure

The application does not fulfill the requirements of Art. 5 PCT as the disclosure is not sufficient to enable the skilled person to put the invention as claimed into practice (see PCT Guidelines, II, 4.02). In particular, it is known from the prior art that during the drying step of a sol-gel process, cracks are likely to occur, especially when making complex shapes as shown on figure 1 of the application. Special care concerning raw materials and additives (e.g. particle size), temperature, duration of drying step etc must be taken in order to achieve a crack free body. None of these are explained in the description, thereby preventing a skilled person to carry out the invention.

#### 3) novelty

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 2, 4 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): a sol-gel process for making a dense glass body, in particular a preform. The process (see example 1) preparing a sol using TEOS as raw material, hydrolysing the sol, adding colloidal silica, pouring in a mold containing an insert, gelling, removing from the mold, drying the gel and finally densifying at 800-1375 ℃.

### 4) inventive step

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 3 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

The document **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 3.

The subject-matter of claim 3 differs from this known process in that the sections of the shapes obtained are different.

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/002578

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as how to obtain shapes having a section as in figure 1.

The solution proposed in claim 3 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reason: preforms having sections as in claim 3 are known from the prior art, see for example D2, D3, D3a or D4. It is therefore obvious for the skilled person trying to make shapes as in figure 1 to combine D1 and one of D2-D4 to arrive at the solution of claim 4.